United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of California

United States of America)			
v.)			
RICARDO GUERRERO) Case No. 1:21-cr-00240-NONE-SKO			
Defendant	ý ,			
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
Part I - Eligibility for Detention				
Upon the				
x Motion of the Government attorney pursua	mt to 19 IJ C C \$ 2142(\$)(1) on			
	motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),			
	is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact			
and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i).				
Part II - Findings of Fact and La	w as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)			
A Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.	C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable			
	ditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person			
and the community because the following conditions	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the fo	ollowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):			
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18	U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.			
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum	term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or			
(b) an offense for which the maximum s	entence is life imprisonment or death; or			
	n of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the			
	§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or			
(d) any felony if such person has been co	onvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs			
	or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses			
jurisdiction had existed, or a combination	c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal n of such offenses; or			
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crir				
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of	a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>			
	ed of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.			
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that	t would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise			
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>				
	ve for which the defendant has been convicted was			
	e pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and			
(4) a period of not more than five years has ele	apsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the			

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

Case 1:21-cr-00240-NONE-SKO Document 9 Filed 12/06/21 Page 2 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

x B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a				
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the				
defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defen committed one or more of the following offenses:	dant			
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the				
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (2	21			
U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);				
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;				
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 y	ears			
or more is prescribed;				
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum ter	m of			
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or				
x (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	,			
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above				
x The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is				
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)				
OR				
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the				
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.				
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention				
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention				
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	ıring,			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hea				
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably ass the safety of any other person and the community.	ure			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably ass	ure			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably ass the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assured.	ure			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably ass the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assurable defendant's appearance as required.	ure			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention her the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably ass the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:	ure			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention her the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably ass the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong	ure			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention her the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably ass the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted	ure			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention her the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably ass the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history	ure			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably asset the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably asset the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse	ure			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably asset the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	ure			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention here the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably asset the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence	ure			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heat the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably asset the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	ure			

Case 1:21-cr-00240-NONE-SKO Document 9 Filed 12/06/21 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Click here to enter text.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	December 3, 2021	alles Clane
		Allison Claire, United States Magistrate Judge